

ON THE GAUGE-INVARIANT VARIABLES
FOR NON-ABELIAN THEORIES

N.B.Skachkov, I.L.Solovtsov*, O.Yu.Shevchenko

Gauge invariant field variables are proposed for the case of non-Abelian field theories. The relation of these variables with the gauge-invariant strength tensor is found. It is shown that the Lorentz gauge condition formulated in terms of Mandelstam's contour derivatives takes place for new field variables and it serves as a secondary constraint according to Dirac's definition.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR.

О калибровочно-инвариантных переменных
в неабелевых теориях

Н.Б.Скачков, И.Л.Соловцов, О.Ю.Шевченко

В неабелевой калибровочной теории поля вводятся калибровочно-инвариантные полевые переменные. Найдена их связь с калибровочно-инвариантным тензором напряженности. Показано, что для введенных полевых переменных выполняется в качестве вторичной связи условие Лоренца, записанное в терминах контурных производных Мандельстама.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории теоретической физики ОИЯИ.

In our previous paper^{/1/} we have formulated a gauge-invariant approach to quantum electrodynamics. It was shown that gauge-invariant field variables introduced in^{/1/} coincide with the usual fields taken in some gauge. The so-called inversion formulae that connect in a simple way gauge-invariant vector fields with the strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ were found. It was shown that for these fields the Lorentz gauge condition takes place as a secondary constraint in accordance with Dirac's definition. In the present paper we give a generalization of the results of^{/1/} to the non-Abelian case.

* Gomel Polytechnical Institute

We define the field potential

$$B_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}|\xi) = A_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) - \partial_{\mu} \int_{\xi}^{\mathbf{x}} d\eta^{\nu}(\alpha) A_{\nu}(\eta(\alpha)) - ig \int_0^1 da a [A_{\mu}(\eta(\alpha)) A_{\nu}(\eta(\alpha))], \quad (1)$$

where $\eta(\alpha) = \xi + \alpha(\mathbf{x} - \xi)$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $A_{\mu}(\mathbf{x})$ is the non-Abelian vector field.

It is easy to see that in the Abelian case $B_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}|\xi)$ coincides with the field of Fock's class^{/2,3/}.

Integrating by parts and with the help of the definition of the strength tensor

$$F_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}) = \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) - \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}) - ig[A_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}), A_{\nu}(\mathbf{x})] \quad (2)$$

we find a relation of the field $B_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}|\xi)$ with $F_{\mu\nu}$

$$B_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}|\xi) = \int_0^1 da a (\mathbf{x} - \xi)^{\nu} F_{\mu\nu}(\xi + a(\mathbf{x} - \xi)). \quad (3)$$

Formula (3) coincides with an inversion formula obtained in Fock's gauge $(\mathbf{x} - \xi)^{\mu} A_{\mu}^F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. It should be noted that the strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ is taken in an arbitrary gauge and not necessarily in Fock's gauge.

In^{/4/} (see also^{/5/}) the operator

$$U(\mathbf{x}|C) = P \exp[-ig \int_{-\infty}^{\mathbf{x}} d\eta^{\nu} A_{\nu}(\eta)] \quad (4)$$

has been introduced, where P means an ordering along the contour C. Now we perform a gauge transformation

$$A_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow A_{\mu}^{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) = \omega(\mathbf{x}) A_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \omega^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{i}{g} \partial_{\mu} \omega(\mathbf{x}) \omega^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$$

with $\omega(\mathbf{x}) = U^{+}(\mathbf{x}|C)$. Under this transformation $F_{\mu\nu}$ transforms into the gauge-invariant tensor $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}|C) = U^{+}(\mathbf{x}|C) F_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}) U(\mathbf{x}|C)$ considered in^{/5/}, and the field $B_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}|\xi)$, defined by (3), transforms into the gauge-invariant vector field

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}|C) = \int_0^1 da a (\mathbf{x} - \xi)^{\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(\xi + a(\mathbf{x} - \xi)|C). \quad (5)$$

Tensor $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}|C)$ obeys equality

$$\tilde{\partial}_{\rho} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}|C) + \tilde{\partial}_{\mu} \mathcal{F}_{\nu\rho}(\mathbf{x}|C) + \tilde{\partial}_{\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\rho\mu}(\mathbf{x}|C) = 0$$

written in terms of Mandelstam's contour derivatives, that are defined as follows^{/4,5/}:

$$\tilde{\partial}_{\mu} U(\mathbf{x}|C) = \lim_{\Delta\mathbf{x} \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(\mathbf{x} + \Delta\mathbf{x}|C') - U(\mathbf{x}|C)}{\Delta\mathbf{x}}, \quad (6)$$

where contours C and C' differ only by a value of Δx . With the help of this equality it is possible to show that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x|C) = \tilde{\partial}_\nu \mathcal{B}_\mu(x; \xi|C) - \tilde{\partial}_\mu \mathcal{B}_\nu(x; \xi|C). \quad (7)$$

Thus, the relation of the gauge-invariant strength tensor $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x|C)$ with the gauge-invariant vector field $\mathcal{B}_\mu(x; \xi|C)$ is analogous in form to the well-known relation that takes place in the Abelian case up to a substitution of ordinary derivatives by Mandelstam's contour derivatives.

With the help of (5) and taking into account antisymmetry of the tensor $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x|C)$ and equation of motion $\tilde{\partial}^\mu \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x|C) = 0$ we find

$$\tilde{\partial}^\mu \mathcal{B}_\mu(x; \xi|C) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Formula (8) is nothing more but a secondary constraint (in accordance with Dirac's terminology^{6/}) and it has the meaning of generalization of the Lorentz condition for the non-Abelian case.

Now let us consider a generalization of Dirac's class of gauge-invariant fields^{7/} for the non-Abelian case. We introduce the field

$$B_\mu(x|f) = A_\mu(x) - \int dy f^\nu(x-y) D_\mu A_\nu(y), \quad (9)$$

where $D_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\mu} - ig[A_\mu, \dots]$, is a usual covariant derivative and the function $f^\nu(x-y)$ obeys the conditions

$$\partial^\mu f_\mu(z) = \delta(z); \quad f_\mu^*(z) = f_\mu(z). \quad (10)$$

In the Abelian case the field (9) transforms into a field introduced by Dirac^{7/}. From (9) with the help of (10) and (2) the next formula follows

$$B_\mu(x|f) = \int dy f^\nu(x-y) F_{\mu\nu}(y). \quad (11)$$

By analogy with the previous case let us perform with the help of gauge transformation with $\omega(x) = U^+(x|C)$ a transition to the gauge-invariant variables $\mathcal{B}_\mu(x; f|C)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x|C)$ connected by formula

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu(x; f|C) = \int dy f^\nu(x-y) \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(y|C). \quad (12)$$

For the field (12) it is possible by analogy with the previous case to prove that the next formula holds

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}|C) = \tilde{\partial}_\nu \mathcal{B}_\mu(\mathbf{x}; f|C) - \tilde{\partial}_\mu \mathcal{B}_\nu(\mathbf{x}; f|C) \quad (13)$$

and the condition

$$\tilde{\partial}^\mu \mathcal{B}_\mu(\mathbf{x}; f|C) = 0 \quad (14)$$

takes place. It appears as a secondary constraint and has the meaning of the generalization of the Lorentz gauge condition for the non-Abelian case.

In conclusion it should be mentioned that a local phase transformation for spinor fields, that is consistent with a gauge transformation of the vector fields with $\omega(\mathbf{x}) = U^\dagger(\mathbf{x}|C)$, leads to the gauge-invariant variables

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}|C) = \Gamma(U^\dagger(\mathbf{x}|C)) \Psi(\mathbf{x}) \quad (15)$$

where the matrix Γ , as usually, connects the adjoint and fundamental representations of the Lie groups.

References

1. Skachkov N.B., Solovtsov I.L., Shevchenko O.Yu. In: JINR Rapid Comm., No.8-85, Dubna, 1985, p.1-5.
2. Fock V.A. Sov.Phys., 1937, vol.12, p.404; Collection of the Works on Quantum Field Theory, 1957, p.141-158, Leningrad Univ.Pub., Leningrad, 1957 (in Russian).
3. Schwinger J. Phys.Rev., 1951, vol.82, p.664; Dubovikov M.S., Smilga A.V. Nucl.Phys., 1981, B185, p.109; Cronstrom C. Phys.Lett., 1980, 90B, p.267.
4. Mandelstam S. Ann.Phys., 1962, 19, p.1,25.
5. Bialynicki-Birula I. Bull.Acad.Polon.Sci., 1963, XI, p.135; Mandelstam S. Phys.Rev., 1968, 175, p.1580; Steinman O. Ann.Phys., 1984, 157, p.232; Preprint BI-TP-85/4, 1985, p.13.
6. Dirac P.A.M. Lectures on Quantum Mechanics. Yeshiva Univ., New York, 1964.
7. Dirac P.A.M. Canadian Journ.of Phys., 1955, 33, p.650.

Received on May 17, 1985.